

## DECLARATION

I, Lin Zhen Man (林哲民)

Hong Kong ID D188015(3)

Of Blk. C-4, 13/F., Wing Hing Industrial Bldg., Hing Yip Street, Kwun Tong Hong Kong

solemnly and sincerely declare that:

The **POWER OF ATTORNEY** by attachment has totally true and do not have mistake.



And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true and by virtue of the Oaths and Declarations Ordinance.

Declared at Kwun Tong District Office  
in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

this day of 9 FEB 2004

through the interpretation of

of  
the said interpreter having been also first declared that he/she\* had truly,  
distinctly and audibly interpreted the contents of this document to the  
declarant, and that he/she\* would truly and faithfully interpret the  
declaration about to be administered to him/her\*.

Before me,

Miss YUNG Hoi-shan  
Commissioner for Oaths

(signature of declarant)

I, \_\_\_\_\_, of \_\_\_\_\_,  
, solemnly and sincerely declare that I well understand the English and Chinese  
languages and that I have truly, distinctly and audibly interpreted the contents of this document to the declarant  
, and that I will truly and faithfully interpret the declaration about to be administered to him/her\*.

Declared at  
in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

this day of  
Before me,

(signature of interpreter)

Commissioner for Oaths

\*Please delete where appropriate



# YET CHONG ELECTRIC COMPANY

BLK C-4, 13/F., WING HING IND.BLDG., 14 HING YIP ST., KWUN TONG, KLN, H.K.

TEL : (852) 23440137 FAX : (852) 23419016

Home page: [www.vcec.com](http://www.vcec.com) Email: [lzm@vcec.com](mailto:lzm@vcec.com)


Untied States Patent and Trademark Office  
Art Unit 3644 Examiner  
Tel: 1 703 306 4159  
Fax: 1 703 306 4195  
Date: Feb. 04, 2004


Re: US Patent Application No. 10/029,951  
in the name of Zhen-Man Lin  
Claiming priority from Chinese Patent  
Application Filing date: 12/31/2001

Dear

Mr. Dinh, Tien Quang

I had send the defense document in responds to the Office Action by registration mail on Dec. 02, 2003. The Certificate of Posting of a Registration Packet is below:

 **投寄掛號郵件證明書**  
**Certificate of Posting of a Registered Packet**

寄往 Addressed to		掛號郵件編號 Regn. No.	
姓名 Name <b>United States</b>		<b>612334458</b>	
城市 City <b>Patent &amp; Trademark Office</b>		日期 Date Stamp	
國家 Country <b>U.S.A.</b>			
空郵 Air	平郵 Surface		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	派遞 Advice of 通知書 Delivery
郵務員簽名 <b>YFA</b> Signature of accepting officer			

Post office confirms again

The item was sent  
on 2.12.2003 from HK



I did not abandonment for Failure to Respond to Office Action, If there was the mistake of postal delivery, please allow me to send again, please filed in my application.

Thank you!

Sincerely yours,

Applicant :

Lin Zhen Man

BLK C-4, 13/F., WING HING IND.BLDG., 14  
HING YIP ST., KWUN TONG, KLN, H.K.

Feb. 04, 2004

# DECLARATION


I, Lin Zhen Man [林振文]

Hong Kong ID D188015(3)

Of Blk. C-4, 13/F., Wing Hing Industrial Bldg., Hing Yip Street, Kwun Tong Hong Kong

solemnly and sincerely declare that:

The **POWER OF ATTORNEY** by attachment has totally true and do not have mistake.



And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true and by virtue of the Oaths and Declarations Ordinance.

Declared at **Kwun Tong District Office**

in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

this Dec. 2 day of 2003

through the interpretation of

of

the said interpreter having been also first declared that he/she\* had truly, distinctly and audibly interpreted the contents of this document to the declarant, and that he/she\* would truly and faithfully interpret the declaration about to be administered to him/her\*.

Before me,

Miss Joanne YONG  
Commissioner for Oaths

(signature of declarant)

I, \_\_\_\_\_, of \_\_\_\_\_, solemnly and sincerely declare that I well understand the English and Chinese languages and that I have truly, distinctly and audibly interpreted the contents of this document to the declarant, and that I will truly and faithfully interpret the declaration about to be administered to him/her\*.

Declared at

in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

this

day of

Before me,

Commissioner for Oaths

(signature of interpreter)

\*Please delete where appropriate



## Standard Patent & Trademark Agent LTD.

Rm.807-808, Science & Tech. Bldg., N . 1001 Shangbu Road Central Shenzhen, P.R. China  
Tel:86-755-83699060 Fax:86-755-83699065  
Email: gaozhany@szonline.net

United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Art Unit 3644  
Attn: Examiner Mr. Dinh, Tien Quang  
Fax: 1 703 306 4195  
Date: July 15, 2003

Re: US Patent Application No. 10/029,951 in the name of Zhen-Man Lin  
Claiming priority from Chinese Patent Application  
Filing date: 12/31/2001

Dear Mr. Dinh

We refer to the above application and we are the original agency of Zhen-Man Lin in ShanZhen of China in 2001 year. Therefore, I had entrust Giant Group Int'l Pat., TM. & Law Office, which is located in Taiwan to submit above application by the "RABIN & BERDO, P.C." of Washington.

An important matter today, from the morals in occupation, I have responsibility to tell Mr. Din, the file of above application was transmitted to the law office in Taiwan by myself, that had "f" of element existed on picture of the Fig.1 & Fig. 4. The "f" point to two dotted line that express location of the double door in opens. But in view of the Patent Application publication of United States on Oct. 31.,2002, the "f" point to two dotted line was deleted without reason. Please see the attached file of Fig.1 & Fig.4, that was original and transmitted by myself.

Hereby, I testify surely for above act without my consent. Attached zip file

Kind attention

Sincerely yours,  
Standard Patent & Trademark Agent Ltd.




Room 807-808, Science & Tech. Bldg.,  
No.1001 Shangbu Road, Central,  
ShenZhen, China 518031

Zhangyuan Gao  
July 15, 2003




Fig. 1




Zhangyan Gao  
July 15, 2003

Fig. 4



Zhangyan Gao  
July 15, 2003



# YET CHONG ELECTRIC COMPANY

BLK C-4, 13/F., WING HING IND.BLDG., 14 HING YIP ST., KWUN TONG, KLN, H.K.

TEL : (852) 23440137 FAX : (852) 23419016

Home page: [www.ycec.com](http://www.ycec.com) Email: [info@ycec.com](mailto:info@ycec.com)

United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Art Unit 3644 Examiner Mr. Dinh, Tien Quang  
Fax: 1 703 306 4195  
Date: Nov. 28, 2002

Re: US Patent Application No. 10/029,951 in the name of Zhen-Man Lin  
Claiming priority from Chinese Patent Application  
Filing date: 12/31/2001

Dear Mr. Dinh

I must really say a big thank you to you as I can find the published patent applications on USPTO website.

I had find a mark "f" which shown the two doors indicated by the a dotted line are deleted in the drawing of Fig. 1 & 4, but the cancellation was unknown to me and it will spoil the essential parts of my patent as they are very important in the original design. (See attach)

Therefore, I had to request originally of My Patent application agent to explain about it with you as they bear part of the blame for the cancellation.

Thank you for your Kind attention.

Sincerely yours,

Zhen-Man Lin  
[lzm@ycec.com](mailto:lzm@ycec.com) ,  
[hcec@szonline.net](mailto:hcec@szonline.net) or  
Fax: 00852-23419016

Fax to originally of agent  
Allen Wood - Reg. No. 28,134  
RABIN & BERDO, P.C.  
CUSTOMER NO. 23995  
Telephone: 202-371-8976  
Telefax: 202-408-0924

# YET CHONG ELECTRIC COMPANY

BLK C-4, 13/F., WING HING IND. BLDG., 14 HING YIP ST., KWUN TONG, KLN, H.K.

TEL : (852) 23440137 FAX : (852) 23419016

Home page: [www.vcec.com](http://www.vcec.com) Email: [lzm@vcec.com](mailto:lzm@vcec.com)

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit 3644 Examiner

Washington, D.C. 20231

Re: US Patent Application No. 10/029,951

Fax: 1 703 306 4195

Date: Oct.27, 2003

Dear Mr. Dinh

Thanks for given me 6 months for the second defenses.

But in your second argument on the writing of examination, there are too many places that i do not understanding, therefore i do seek for your advice!

1. In above-mentioned argue writing of page5 (the line of 11<sup>th</sup>):

『Garehime discloses an aircraft anti-hijacking system having a concealed monitoring device to deter potential hijackers but lacks the system to ...』

© But, in the Garehime's invention the word of "concealed" that was no find?

2. In above-mentioned argue writing of page5 (the line of 17<sup>th</sup>):

『...Feher discloses means to monitor the aircraft from the ground as well as "Flight Trajectory Calibrator 80" are well known in the art....』

© But, the "Flight Trajectory Calibrator 80" of previous art. in Feher's invention that was no find?

3. In above-mentioned argue writing of page5 (the line of 18<sup>th</sup>)

『Borthayre discloses a system to take control away from the cockpit to the ground system via a special frequency band is well known in the art. Borthayre inherently has a flight trajectory calibrator system to know where the aircraft is and going so it can control the aircraft.』

© But, the "a special frequency band" and "a flight trajectory calibrator system" in Borthayre's invention that was no find the words?

© The Borthayre's invention lacked the "remote-controlled **automatic/semiautomatic** steering" and that was very important in the art. !

4. In above-mentioned argue writing of page7 (the line of 4<sup>th</sup>) :

『Garehime as modified by Zekich, Feher, and Borthayre discloses all claimed parts of the invention except for the narcotic sprayer used to put terrorists to sleep. However, Boudreau discloses the use of narcotic sprayers is well known in the art.』

© But, why the narcotic sprayer was no find in any previous art. by your search report? Boudreau, Who is he and how well does he know in the art.?

Why was there so much mistakes in the writing of examination? Inventor thought that this should not be coming from professional level of Examiner ! Therefore inventor wants to ask Mr.Dinh can reply instruction!

From following chart, the invent's novelty of claim cannot be neglected by any reason, inventor doubted that there had been a shameful backstage force wanting to use the administrative means oppression Examiner make to exclude to eliminate the application of inventor:

The "single person checkroom" is a new and useful process than the previous invention, the Claim of 1a & 2-6 novelty can confirm by the comparison:



Lin's single person checkroom	Special beams of raster curtain for test	"Unidirectional" bullet-proof glass	Double door program setting system	weight means	Five-finger mold hand test	Voice recognition	Image test
Lin's Novelty	novelty	Unidirectional	novelty	<u>a new use of a known process</u>	novelty	novelty	novelty
Zerick's checkroom	no	bullet-proof glass	no	no		no	no
Jacoby et al's	no	no	no	no	out-of-date	no	no
Anastassakis	no	no	no	different field	no	no	no

The Claim of 1 b-c & 7-9 novelty can confirm by the comparisons

Lin's invention	<u>concealed monitoring device!</u>	<u>flight trajectory calibrator system</u>	<u>Remote-controlled automatic/semiautomatic steering</u>	<u>narcotic sprayers</u>	<u>power supply of independent and concealed</u>
Lin's novelty	<u>concealed</u> no precedent	have no precedent	Have no precedent	have no precedent	Have no precedent
Garehime	<u>monitoring device!</u>				no
Borthayre		The story is make up			no
Borthayre			not exist		no
Boudreau				Who is he?	no

United States is a big country, it is ruled by law and the modern civilization also had good record, the prejudice had appeared to be a form of overdoing outside the art of examination. Inventor asked for fair treatment and hopes that Mr. Dinh will pay attention- especially to the fact that the original two dotted lines and the two line that go between Fig. 1f & Fig. 4f was being omitted from reason why did the P. C. of RABIN & BERDO. not file its reply before the deadline of Nov.14, 2002 points out that they are secretly trying to make the inventor unable to get the patent to ! Why must the inventor have to file it with speed post on his own? Examiner, Mr. Tien Quang Dinh, was an eyewitness that it is not an accidental incident but rather a violation of professional ethics.

In this case, Inventor can offer the application of Agent's letter by ShenZhen of china only, Examiner refer to inventor in addition that it will have what can do still? Please in view the attached list of <http://www.ycec.com/Defense-230903.htm> and glance over the letter and amendment and to instruction the inventor how to makes the defense more perfectly!

Please reply by Email to [lzmymc@singnet.com.sg](mailto:lzmymc@singnet.com.sg) , [ycec@163.net](mailto:ycec@163.net), [lzm@ycec.com](mailto:lzm@ycec.com).

Thank you for your Kind attention.

Sincerely yours,



Zhen-Man Lin

Attach <http://www.ycec.com/Defense-230903.htm>

attach 1	<a href="http://www.ycec.com/us-office-250603.tif">www.ycec.com/us-office-250603.tif</a>	
attach 2	<u>Amendment</u> (draft)	12-09-03
	News figure: <u>Fig.1</u> <u>Fig.2</u> <u>Fig.3</u> <u>Fig.4</u> <u>Fig.5</u> <u>Fig.6</u>	14-09-03
attach 3	<u>ShenZhen Agent Letter</u> <u>Old-Fig.1 &amp; Fig.4</u>	15-07-03
attach 4	<u>CN1408608A</u> <u>Figure 1-5</u>	09-04-03

## **LZM Patent Office**

10 Ava Road Ava Tower # 19-07 Singapore 329949 (<http://www.ycec.com>)

Tel: 65 63533647 Fax: 65 62585636 Email: [lzmyc@singnet.com.sg](mailto:lzmyc@singnet.com.sg)

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit 3644 Examiner

Tel: 1 703 306 4159

Fax: 1 703 306 4195

Date: Jan. 14, 2004

Re: US Patent Application No. **10/029,951**

in the name of Zhen-Man Lin

Claiming priority from Chinese Patent

Application Filing date: 12/31/2001

Dear

Mr. Charles Jordan,

I had send the defense document in **responds to the Office Action** by registration mail on Nov. 28, 2003. The documents are found in my websites now and the subject titles are as below:

	Subject Document joined	Date	<a href="http://www.ycec.com">http://www.ycec.com</a> /...add...htm Web page joined
1	<u>Letter to examiner's supervisor</u>	Nov.28,03	<u>Letter to request-281103.htm</u>
2	<u>Letter to Mr. Dinh of Examiner</u>	Oct.27, 03	<u>Letter to question-271003.htm</u>
3	<u>Second Detailed Action by Examiner</u>	Jun.25, 03	<a href="http://www.ycec.com/us-office-250603.tif">www.ycec.com/us-office-250603.tif</a>
4	<u>Amendment by inventor</u>	Nov. 28, 03	<u>Amendment-E-281103.htm</u>
5	<u>New-SPECIFICATION</u>	Nov. 28, 03	<u>New-SPECIFICATION-281103.htm</u>
	<u>CLAIM CHANGES</u>		(For instance Fig1-6.htm) <a href="http://www.ycec.com/New-Fig1.htm">www.ycec.com/New-Fig1.htm</a>
	<u>News figure:</u>	Nov. 28, 03	<u>Fig1. Fig.2 Fig.3 Fig.4 Fig.5 Fig.6</u>
6	<u>ShenZhen Agent Letter to Mr. Dinh of Examiner originally-Fig.1 &amp; Fig.4</u>	July15, 03	<u>SZ-agent-letter-150703.htm</u> <u>originally-Fig.1 &amp; Fig.4</u>
7	<u>CN1408608A Figure 1-5</u>	Apr09, 03	<u>CN1408608A-090403.htm</u>

But I had in your websites of <http://pair.uspto.gov/cgi-bin/final/pairsearch.pl> and I found that they are wrong:

File Contents History		
Number	Date	Contents Description
30	01-07-2004	Mail Abandonment for Failure to Respond to Office Action
29	01-06-2004	Abandonment for Failure to Respond to Office Action
28	06-20-2003	Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326)
27	06-16-2003	Final Rejection

I did not **abandonment for Failure to Respond to Office Action**, so why did you cancel my application? If there was the mistake of postal delivery, please allow me to send again, please does not cancel my application right.

Thank you for your Kind attention and please reply to me as soon as possible.

Sincerely yours,



Applicant : Lin Zhen Man

10 Ava Road, Ava Tower, # 19-07

Singapore 329949

Jan. 14, 2004